

Associations between plasma anti-Müllerian hormone and fertility responses of seasonally calving grazing dairy cows

E.S. Ribeiro ¹*, R.L.A. Cerri ², R.S. Bisinotto ¹, F.S. Lima ¹, L.F. Greco ¹, A. Morrison³, A. Kumar³, W.W. Thatcher¹, and J.E.P. Santos¹ ¹University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; ²University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC; ³Ansh Labs Inc., Webster, TX

Poster T-346

INTRODUCTION

Antral follicle count is highly variable among young adult cattle, repeatable within individuals, and associated positively with ovarian reserve and fertility traits.

Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) is produced by granulosa cells of healthy follicles and has been identified as a marker for antral follicle count and ovarian reserve, and could be used potentially to predict fertility of cattle.

OBJECTIVE

The objective was to investigate associations between plasma AMH and fertility of grazing dairy cows subjected to synchronized AI on the first day of a 100-day breeding season.

MATERIALS and METHODS

100-day Breeding Season: *Timed AI + Estrous Detection + Natural Service*

n = 1,237 lactating cows in two grazing dairies with a blood sample collected on study d -8

		Blood sample	Timed AI		Daily detection of estrus + AI		
—	25 days		8 days	18 days	17 days	65 days	
	Presynchronizatio	n Time	ed AI program		Reinsemination	Natural service	

Day of the Breeding Season:

-33	 -8	0	19	35	100
	, , .	• 1	1, • 1 •	'1 1 1	• • • •

- ✓ AMH concentrations in plasma were determined using an available commercial kit (AnshLite[™] Bovine AMH CLIA, AL-214)
- ✓ Additional 60 lactating cows from one grazing dairy had blood samples collected on days 7 and 15 of the estrous cycle for evaluation of the repeatability of AMH measurements within individuals

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Continuous data were analyzed with models fitting a Gaussian distribution, and binary responses were analyzed by logistic regression fitting a binary data distribution, both using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS. The interval to pregnancy was analyzed by the Cox proportional hazard model with the PHREG procedure of SAS.

AMH concentrations were analyzed as dependent variables of general independent variables (dairy, lactation number, breed of the cow, days in milk, BCS) and reproductive outcomes.

AMH concentrations were also tested as independent variable for prediction of reproductive outcomes using continues values (1 unit = 100 pg/mL) or quartile categorization (Q1: < 161pg/mL, LSM = 102.5; Q2: between 160 and 266 pg/mL, LSM = 210.4, Q3: between 265 and 415 pg/mL, LSM = 333.6; Q4: > 414 pg/mL, LSM = 632.7).

Figure 1. Regression and correlation analyses between plasmatic AMH concentrations on days 7 and 15 of the estrous cycle from 60 lactating grazing dairy cows.

Table 1. Factors affect
Independent variable
Dairy
Breed
Lactation
Days in milk
BCS at d 0
BCS change from d (



Bars with different letters differs (a,b = P < 0.05; A,B = P < 0.10); ¶ Quadratic effect P < 0.01.



KESULIS Table 2. Plasma concentrations of AMH according to reproductive outcomes						
	Incidence					
Item	No Yes		P value			
	AMH concentration, pg/mL					
Estrous cyclic	315.6 ± 20.2	294.8 ± 10.6	0.40			
Estrus at timed AI	319.6 ± 11.3	269.9 ± 17.7	0.03			
Pregnant from timed AI on d 30	303.1 ± 13.0	296.2 ± 14.4	0.75			
Pregnant from timed AI on d 64	303.1 ± 12.1	296.0 ± 15.6	0.75			
Pregnancy loss	296.0 ± 14.4	303.0 ± 47.7	0.89			
Reinseminated	286.7 ± 25.5	311.6 ± 13.6	0.43			
Pregnant from reinsemination	282.7 ± 20.9	338.1 ± 22.0	0.10			
Pregnant from natural service	251.7 ± 22.2	311.3 ± 22.3	0.09			
Pregnant at the end of breeding season	251.7 ± 24.9	310.1 ± 10.0	0.05			

tem	

Table 3. Continuous values of AMH concentrations as predictor of reproductive outcomes

Univariable analysi	Multivariable analysis				
OR (95% CI)	Р	AOR (95% CI)	Р		
0.99 (0.94 - 1.04)	0.64	0.95 (0.90 - 1.01)	0.08		
0.95 (0.91 - 1.01)	0.07	0.93 (0.28 - 0.85)	0.01		
0.99 (0.94 - 1.03)	0.54	0.97 (0.93 - 1.02)	0.29		
0.99 (0.95 - 1.04)	0.76	0.98 (0.93 -1.03)	0.37		
0.97 (0.86 - 1.09)	0.60	0.97 (0.87 - 1.09)	0.59		
1.02 (0.95 - 1.10)	0.54	0.99 (0.92 - 1.07)	0.86		
1.06 (0.98 - 1.14)	0.18	1.07 (0.99 - 1.16)	0.10		
1.08 (1.00 - 1.17)	0.05	1.07 (0.99 - 1.16)	0.10		
1.07 (1.00 -1.15)	0.05	1.03 (0.97 - 1.11)	0.34		
	Univariable analys OR (95% CI) 0.99 (0.94 - 1.04) 0.95 (0.91 - 1.01) 0.99 (0.94 - 1.03) 0.99 (0.95 - 1.04) 0.97 (0.86 - 1.09) 1.02 (0.95 - 1.10) 1.06 (0.98 - 1.14) 1.08 (1.00 - 1.17) 1.07 (1.00 -1.15)	Univariable analysisOR (95% CI) P 0.99 (0.94 - 1.04)0.640.95 (0.91 - 1.01)0.070.99 (0.94 - 1.03)0.540.99 (0.95 - 1.04)0.760.97 (0.86 - 1.09)0.601.02 (0.95 - 1.10)0.541.06 (0.98 - 1.14)0.181.08 (1.00 - 1.17)0.051.07 (1.00 - 1.15)0.05	Univariable analysisMultivariable analysisOR (95% CI)PAOR (95% CI) $0.99 (0.94 - 1.04)$ 0.64 $0.95 (0.90 - 1.01)$ $0.95 (0.91 - 1.01)$ 0.07 $0.93 (0.28 - 0.85)$ $0.99 (0.94 - 1.03)$ 0.54 $0.97 (0.93 - 1.02)$ $0.99 (0.95 - 1.04)$ 0.76 $0.98 (0.93 - 1.03)$ $0.97 (0.86 - 1.09)$ 0.60 $0.97 (0.87 - 1.09)$ $1.02 (0.95 - 1.10)$ 0.54 $0.99 (0.92 - 1.07)$ $1.06 (0.98 - 1.14)$ 0.18 $1.07 (0.99 - 1.16)$ $1.07 (1.00 - 1.15)$ 0.05 $1.03 (0.97 - 1.11)$		

Table 4 Quartile categorization of AMH concentrations as predictor of reproductive outcomes

Table 4. Quartice categorization of Aivirr concentrations as predictor of reproductive outcomes							
	Quartile of AMH concentration						
Item	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P	Linear	Qua
Estrous cyclic	78.6 ^{AB}	78.4^{AB}	80.4 ^A	73.8 ^B	0.32	0.31	0.21
Estrus at timed AI	42.2 ^a	34.1 ^b	34.3 ^b	29.3 ^b	0.01	< 0.01	0.65
Pregnant from timed AI on d 30	51.6	49.6	49.5	47.4	0.80	0.34	0.99
Pregnant from timed AI on d 65	40.0	40.3	41.5	37.1	0.73	0.55	0.41
Pregnancy loss	14.2 ^a	9.2 ^{ab}	6.5 ^b	11.2 ^{ab}	0.17	0.29	0.05
Reinseminated	72.0	75.3	71.1	72.1	0.85	0.81	0.74
Pregnant from reinsemination	33.4 ^B	40.6 ^{AB}	40.8 ^{AB}	45.0 ^A	0.39	0.10	0.72
Pregnant from natural service	59.9 ^b	58.3 ^b	68.2 ^{ab}	74.2 ^a	0.05	< 0.01	0.37
Pregnant at the end of season	84.9 ^B	85.2 ^B	88.1 ^{AB}	89.5 ^A	0.22	0.04	0.72
		D		_			

Different superscripts differ ($^{a,b} = P < 0.05$; $^{AB} = P < 0.10$) within the same column

DECLI TO



Figure 2. Survival probability of pregnancy throughout the breeding season.



Figure 3. Survival probability of pregnancy throughout the breeding season for cows not pregnant from timed AI on the first day of the breeding season.

SUMMARY

AMH concentrations in plasma were affected by lactation, genetic background of the cow and change in body condition score.

High concentrations of AMH were associated with reduced expression of estrus at timed AI but did not affect expression of spontaneous estrus for reinsemination.

AMH concentrations were not associated with fertility of cows subjected to timed AI with a synchronized ovulation but were associated positively with fertility of cows that failed to become pregnant from timed AI and were subsequently bred after spontaneous estrus.

Synchronization of ovulation might override any association of AMH and fertility in lactating dairy cows.

